

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/282,229	FORIN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Andy Ho	2194

All Participants:

Status of Application: _____

(1) Andy Ho. (3) _____.

(2) Alan Collins, Reg. No. 57,646. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 27 November 2006

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

none

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

The examiner suggested the applicant to correct the reference to claim 41 on page 12 of the specification. The applicant agreed with the suggestion and authorized the examiner to make the changes in an examiner's amendment

Part III.

It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


 MICHAEL T. HO
 SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
 1100 37500 0100

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)